

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

- 1					
ı	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/966,9	12 11/06	/97 YAMAKITA	Т	970674/LH

LM01/1001 FRISHAUF HOLTZ GOODMAN LANGER & CHICK 767 THIRD AVE 25TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10017-2023 CHAWAN, V

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2741

DATE MAILED:

10/01/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/966,912

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Vijay Chawan

Group Art Unit 2741

Yamakita



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expi is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to res application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	pond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-9	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	•
Application Papers	
🛚 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	ew, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
$oxed{oxed}$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the part of t	priority documents have been
🛛 received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
	2 and 4
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	OLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/966,912 Page 2

Art Unit: 2741

5

10

15

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 3, 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claims 3 and 4: the phrase "... said means...", is vague and indefinite in that it is not clear as to what that phrase means. "said means", does not clarify which means are being referred to.
- claim 9: The claim as written is not clear and does not clearly point out what the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections.

 See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: The

Serial Number: 08/966,912

Art Unit: 2741

Page 3

claim as written is supposedly a speech recognition system, but the claim itself does not show any kind of speech recognition activity. The claim as written, it is not clear where the preamble stops and the limitations begin. It is not clear what the applicant regards as his patentable invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371° of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hashimoto et al., (5,632,002).

As per claims 1 and 7, Hashimoto et al., teach a speech recognition interface system suitable for window systems and speech mail systems comprising the steps of:

means for receiving speech data transmitted (figs, 8 and 17);

means for recognizing the received speech data and converting the speech data into document data;

20

5

10

15

Serial Number: 08/966,912 Page 4

Art Unit: 2741

5

10

15

means for extracting a word from the converted document data and generating formatted text data on the basis of the extracted word (Figs. 19, 20A (text editor), Fig 55, Col.58, lines 45-65, Col.60, lines 46-48); and,

means for transmitting the generated formatted text data through said communication network (Fig.19).

As per claims 2, 5, 6 and 8, Hashimoto et al., teach generation means including a means for searching for a word associated with a destination from the converted document data to specify the destination (Fig.53, Col.3, lines 29-67, Col.4, lines 1-12, Col.58, lines 45-65).

As per claims 3 and 4, Hashimoto et al., teach means for specifying e-mail and Fax destinations (Col.60, lines 46-48, Col.58, lines 45-65).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yoshida et al., (5,128,985) teach a communication system having a plurality of voice communication terminals and a data communication terminal.

Baji et al., (5,163,111) teach a customized personal terminal device.

Ishii et al., (5,182,765) teach a speech recognition system with an accurate recognition function.

Serial Number: 08/966,912

Art Unit: 2741

5

10

15

Page 5

Abe (5,280,520), teaches an apparatus for speech and data communication.

Sawada (5,465,326) teaches mixed mode transmission control apparatus for adding an identification block to mixed mode data.

Takebayashi et al., (5,577,165) teaches a speech dialogue system for facilitating improved human-computer interaction.

Katsumaru et al., (5,625,675) teach a voice mail communication system. Hirotani (5,758,332) teach an information service providing system.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vijay Chawan whose telephone number is (703) 305-3836.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth, can be reached at (703) 308-4825.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Serial Number: 08/966,912

Art Unit: 2741

Page 6

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Vijay Chawan September 27, 1998

> DAVID R. HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700

Mun ur V